

Citation for published version:

Watkins, O, Joshi, K, Suri, T, Williams, E, Hernen, J, Scott, J & Family, H 2015, 'Fitting a naloxone take home kit into our life to save lives', Society for the Study of Addiction annual symposium, Leeds, 1/01/01.

Publication date:
2015

Document Version
Early version, also known as pre-print

[Link to publication](#)

Publisher Rights
Unspecified

University of Bath

Alternative formats

If you require this document in an alternative format, please contact:
openaccess@bath.ac.uk

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Fitting a naloxone take home kit into our life to save lives

[Olivia Watkins^a](#), Krupa Joshi^a, Tina Suri^a, Emma Williams^a, Jan Hernen^b, Jenny Scott^{ab}, & [Hannah Family^a](#)
^aUniversity of Bath, ^bTurning Point
Contact: h.e.family@bath.ac.uk

Background

The benefits of making Naloxone more widely accessible to recovering service users, their families and peers have been under consideration for many years in the UK. The success of the Scottish take home naloxone scheme demonstrates naloxone distribution programs are practicable and can save lives. However, data from the Needle exchange surveillance initiative suggests that only 16% of individuals supplied with naloxone carry it with them [1]. This could be explained by reports of clients’ hesitance to access or carry naloxone due to fear of police harassment [2]. With these challenges in mind, Turning Point wanted to explore barriers and facilitators to the supply of naloxone in England as part of an “emergency relapse pack” (ERP) that also contains information and motivation to prevent relapse.

Results

Three focus groups were undertaken with clients attending the detoxification or rehabilitation service for opiate and/or alcohol addiction (N=20). Two focus groups were carried out with staff (N=8, including nurses, support workers, and team lead) and a one-to-one semi-structured interview with a peer mentor. Four themes were developed in the analysis and described below. Participants are quoted verbatim, but names are replaced with pseudonyms to maintain anonymity.

Individuality

Clients and staff all saw the opportunity to customise the information contained in the pack and flexibility over when the pack was introduced as cost-free way of increasing client receptivity to the ERP **“they [clients] should be somehow observed and see exactly if they can cope with it all”** (Nicole-Client)

Mixed Messages

Staff worried that the ERP would send the wrong message: **“I still worry about mixed messages...I just feel like the there’s a potential for a certain type of client to think you know what it is an excuse for me to go and use it’ll bring me back from the brink.”** (Daisy – Staff)

Individualised

Pack Position in life

Emergency relapse pack design

Training

Is there a need for an ERP?

Staff and clients were unsure whether an ERP was of relevance **“My experience of drug taking is you use drugs alone”** (Eddie – Staff Member)

Fitting it into my life

Participants considered how they could adopt the ERP into their day to day lives **“if you were going round to a friends too then take it [the ERP] wiv ya”** (Lucy – Client). Clients suggested the idea of having two ERPs - one for home, and one to carry.

Knowledge Gap

Both staff and clients felt they needed training in how to administer naloxone. Training is also needed to address confusion over similar sounding drugs (naloxone and naltrexone) and beliefs that naloxone is a benzodiazepine: **“you’re giving people benzos on the way out (...) there is a risk because it’s open to abuse and that’s a fact and that’s the reality”** (Dom – staff)

Logistics

Clients felt that either clinical staff or peer mentors would be appropriate to deliver naloxone training but that peer mentors may be **“more real innit rather than just a clinical lecture”** (Robert-Client) and group settings were preferred so clients could **“learn off each other”** (Sarah-Client).

Conclusions and Recommendations for Practice

This study has provided initial evidence on how Turning Point can facilitate clients adopting an ERP into their day to day lives. Tailoring the introduction of the ERP and timing of ERP training to individual client’s needs, as well as encouraging clients to customise the contents of their ERPs was perceived to be key to client acceptance of the ERP. Provision of one ERP to carry and another for their home would support access to naloxone when needed. Training is required to address misconceptions and knowledge gaps along with a clearly articulated purpose for the ERP.

References

1. Bird, S.M, Parmar, M.K.B., & Strang, J. (2015). Take home naloxone to prevent fatalities from opiate-overdose: Protocol for Scotland’s public health policy evaluation, and a new measure to assess impact, *Drugs: Education, Prevention and Policy*, 22 (1), 66-76.
2. Watt G, Jaquet S, Ellison S, Christie I, Nicholson J. (2014). Service Evaluation Of Scotland’s National Take-Home Naloxone Programme, *Scottish Government Social Research*, 35- 41.
3. Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77-101.



UNIVERSITY OF
BATH